Legislative Scorecard 2017 Mid-Term Report 115th Congress

National Association of Police Organizations

317 South Patrick Street • Alexandria, Virginia 22314-3501 • (703) 549-0775
Fax (703) 684-0515 • www.napo.org •

Report Card
115th Congress
Senate Votes

A description of the National Association of Police Organizations Legislative Priorities

Representing America's Finest

NAPO's Priority Votes: Mid-Term Report

115th Congress, United States Senate

**Votes completed by 12/31/17*

*** In order of Senate Roll Call Vote.*

1. **Vote #55: Sessions Nomination – Cloture.** February 07, 2017. Motion to invoke cloture (thus limiting debate) on President Donald Trump's nomination of Jeff Sessions of Alabama to be attorney general. Motion agreed to 52-47. *NAPO Supported.*

2. **Vote #59: Sessions Nomination – Confirmation.** February 08, 2017. Confirmation of President Donald Trump's nomination of Jeff Sessions of Alabama to be attorney general. Confirmed 52-47. *NAPO Supported.*

3. **Vote #114: Rosenstein Nomination – Confirmation.** April 25, 2017. Confirmation of President Donald Trump's nomination of Rod J. Rosenstein of Maryland to be deputy attorney general. Confirmed 94-6. *NAPO Supported.*

4. **Vote #303: HR 1: Tax Overhaul – Passage.** December 02, 2017. This bill would revise the federal income tax system by lowering individual and corporate tax rates, repealing various deductions through 2025, specifically by eliminating the deduction for state and local income taxes through 2025, increasing the deduction for pass-through entities and raising the child tax credit through 2025. It would also open parts of the Arctic National Wildlife Refuge to oil and gas drilling. Passed 51-49. *NAPO opposed provisions that would eliminated the state and local tax (SALT) deduction and apply the unrelated business income tax (UBIT) to public pension plans.*

5. **Vote #323: HR 1 – Tax Cuts and Jobs Act – Conference Report – Motion to Concur.** December 20, 2017. This bill would revise the federal income tax system by lowering the corporate tax rate from 35 percent to 21 percent; lowering individual tax rates through 2025; limiting state and local deductions to $10,000 through 2025; decreasing the limit on deductible mortgage debt through 2025; and creating a new system of taxing U.S. corporations with foreign subsidiaries. Specifically, it would repeal personal exemptions and would roughly double the standard deduction through 2025. It would raise the child tax credit to $2,000 through 2025, would repeal the alternative minimum tax for corporations and provide for broader exemptions to the tax for individuals through 2025. It would double individual exemptions to the estate tax and gift tax through 2025, and would establish a new top tax rate for "pass-through" business income through 2025. Motion agreed to by a vote of 51-48. *NAPO opposed provisions that would eliminated the state and local tax (SALT) deduction and apply the unrelated business income tax (UBIT) to public pension plans.*

Copyright © NAPO 2018. All rights reserved.

NOTE: NAPO had several legislative victories in the Senate in the first term of the 115th Congress that are not included in this report as they were passed by unanimous consent, which does not record how each individual senator voted for the legislation. This document only tracks yea/nay votes in order that we can attribute support or opposition to senators on NAPO's priority legislation and analyze their support for our positions in a meaningful way.

NAPO priority legislation that passed the Senate by unanimous consent in 2017:

- S. 139: Rapid DNA Act *(Support)*
- S. 419: Public Safety Officers' Benefits (PSOB) Improvement Act *(Support)*
- S. 597: Children of Fallen Heroes Scholarship Act *(Support)*
- S. 782: PROTECT Our Children Act *(Support)*
- S. 867 / H.R. 2228: Law Enforcement Mental Health and Wellness Act *(Support)*
- S. 1311: Abolish Human Trafficking Act *(Support)*
- H.R. 1892: Honoring Hometown Heroes Act *(Support)*
- H.R. 2142: INTERDICT Act *(Support)*

For more information on these bills, please view NAPO's Legislative Positions document on our website, www.napo.org.

Copyright © NAPO 2018. All rights reserved.

Report Card
115th Congress
House Votes

A description of the National Association of Police Organizations Legislative Priorities

Representing America's Finest

NAPO's Priority Votes: Mid-Term Report

115th Congress, United States House of Representatives

**Votes completed by 12/31/2017.*

*** In order of House Roll Call Vote.*

1. **Vote #265: HR 115 – Thin Blue Line Act – Passage.** May 18, 2017. This bill would require courts and juries to consider if a defendant killed or attempted to kill a state law enforcement officer, local law enforcement officer or first responder as an aggravating factor when determining whether to impose the death sentence on a defendant. Passed 271-143. *NAPO Supported.*

2. **Vote #266: HR 1892 – Honoring Hometown Heroes Act – Passage.** May 18, 2017. The bill would allow the governor of a state, territory, possession or the mayor of the District of Columbia to order that the United States flag be flown at half-staff to honor the death of a first responder who dies while serving in the line of duty. Motion agreed to 411-1. *NAPO Supported.*

3. **Vote #267: HR 1039 – Probation Officer Protection Act – Amendment, GAO Report and Sunset Provisions.** May 19, 2017. This amendment would require the Government Accountability Office to report to Congress on the results of the bill's expansion of arrest authority for probation officers, including if any harm resulted from the exercise of the expanded authority. It would also sunset the bill's expanded arrest authority 30 months after the bill's enactment. Rejected 178-229. *NAPO Opposed.*

4. **Vote #268: HR 1039 – Probation Officer Protection Act – Passage.** May 19, 2017. This bill would authorize probation officers, during the course of their official duties, to arrest an individual without a warrant if there is probable cause that the individual has assaulted or impeded the officer. It would require the Administrative Office of the United States Courts to issue rules and regulations governing probation officers' use of expanded arrest authority. Passed 229-177. *NAPO Supported.*

5. **Vote #283: HR 1761 – Protecting Against Child Exploitation Act – Amendment, Limitation on Imprisonment.** May 25, 2017. This amendment would limit, to one year, a term of imprisonment for individuals convicted of child pornography crimes who are 19 years old or younger and the minor involved is 15 years old or older, as long as the minor was not more than four years younger than the individual who committed the violation and the sexual conduct that occurred was consensual. Rejected in Committee of the Whole 180-238. *NAPO Opposed.*

6. **Vote #284: HR 1761 – Protecting Against Child Exploitation Act – Passage.** May 25, 2017. This bill would make the production of child pornography a crime regardless of whether the sexual conduct with the minor was initiated for the purposes of producing such content. The bill would establish new offenses that could be prosecuted as child pornography and subjected to the same mandatory minimum

Copyright © NAPO 2018. All rights reserved.

prison sentences as current child pornography crimes, including live streaming a child engaged in sexually-explicit conduct, whether produced domestically or abroad. Passed 368-51. *NAPO Supported.*

7. **Vote #550: S 782 – PROTECT Our Children Act – Passage.** October 03, 2017. This bill would reauthorize, through fiscal 2022, $60 million annually for the Justice Department's Internet Crimes Against Children Task Force program. Motion agreed to 417-3. *NAPO Supported.*

8. **Vote #637: HR 1 – Tax Cuts and Jobs Act – Passage.** November 16, 2017. This bill would revise the federal income tax system by: lowering individual and corporate tax rates; consolidating the current seven tax income rates into four rates; eliminating the deduction for state and local income taxes; limiting certain deductions for property taxes and home mortgages; and creating a new system of taxing U.S. corporations with foreign subsidiaries. Specifically, it would eliminate personal exemptions and would nearly double the standard deduction. It would raise the child tax credit through 2022, repeal the alternative minimum tax, repeal the estate tax in 2025 and reduce the gift tax rate in 2025. It would establish a new top tax rate for pass-through business income and would modify tax credits related to energy production. Passed 227-205. *NAPO opposed provisions that would eliminated the state and local tax (SALT) deduction and apply the unrelated business income tax (UBIT) to public pension plans.*

9. **Vote #699: HR 1 – Tax Cuts and Jobs Act – Motion to Concur.** December 20, 2017. Motion to concur in the Senate amendment to the tax overhaul that would revise the federal income tax system by: lowering the corporate tax rate from 35 percent to 21 percent; lowering individual tax rates through 2025; limiting state and local deductions to $10,000 through 2025; decreasing the limit on deductible mortgage debt through 2025; and creating a new system of taxing U.S. corporations with foreign subsidiaries. Specifically, it would repeal personal exemptions and would roughly double the standard deduction through 2025. It would raise the child tax credit to $2,000 through 2025, would repeal the alternative minimum tax for corporations and provide for broader exemptions to the tax for individuals through 2025. It would double individual exemptions to the estate tax and gift tax through 2025, and would establish a new top tax rate for "pass-through" business income through 2025. It would effectively eliminate the penalty for not purchasing health insurance under the 2010 health care overhaul law in 2019. It would also open portions of the Arctic National Wildlife Refuge to oil and gas drilling. Motion agreed to 224-201. *NAPO opposed the provision capping the state and local tax (SALT) deduction.*

NOTE: NAPO had several legislative victories in the House of Representatives in the first term of the 115th Congress that are not included in this report as they were passed by voice vote, which does not record how each individual member voted for the legislation. This document only tracks yea/nay votes in order that we can attribute support or opposition to members of Congress on NAPO's priority legislation and analyze their support for our positions in a meaningful way.

NAPO's priority legislation that passed the House by voice vote in 2017:

- H.R. 387: Email Privacy Act *(Oppose)*
- H.R. 510: Rapid DNA Act *(Support)*
- H.R. 883: Targeting Child Predators Act *(Support)*
- H.R. 1188: Adam Walsh Reauthorization Act *(Support)*
- H.R. 1428: American Law Enforcement Heroes Act *(Support)*
- H.R. 2142: INTERDICT Act *(Support)*
- H.R. 2228: Law Enforcement Mental Health and Wellness Act *(Support)*

Copyright © NAPO 2018. All rights reserved.

- House Amendment #381 to H.R. 3354: Allocating $100 million to the COPS Hiring Program *(Support)*
- S. 419: Public Safety Officers' Benefits (PSOB) Improvement Act *(Support)*

For more information on these bills, please view NAPO's Legislative Positions document on our website, www.napo.org.

Copyright © NAPO 2018. All rights reserved.

Senate Votes by State

			Vote Number:	55	59	114	303	323
			Year:	2017	2017	2017	2017	2017
			NAPO Position:	Yea	Yea	Nay	Yea	Nay
STATE	SENATOR	% Agreed with NAPO All Positions*	% Agreed with NAPO Report Total**					
Alabama	Shelby (R)	60%	60%	+	+	-	+	-
	Strange (R)	33%	20%	I	I	-	+	-
Alaska	Murkowski (R)	60%	60%	+	+	-	+	-
	Sullivan (R)	60%	60%	+	+	-	+	-
Arizona	Flake (R)	60%	60%	+	+	-	+	-
	McCain (R)	60%	60%	+	+	-	+	(-)
Arkansas	Boozman (R)	60%	60%	+	+	-	+	-
	Cotton (R)	60%	60%	+	+	-	+	-
California	Feinstein (D)	20%	20%	-	-	-	-	+
	Harris, K. (D)	40%	40%	-	-	+	-	+
Colorado	Bennet (D)	20%	20%	-	-	-	-	+
	Gardner (R)	60%	60%	+	+	-	+	-
Connecticut	Blumenthal (D)	40%	40%	-	-	+	-	+
	Murphy, C. (D)	20%	20%	-	-	-	-	+
Delaware	Carper (D)	20%	20%	-	-	-	-	+
	Coons (D)	20%	20%	-	-	-	-	+
Florida	Nelson (D)	20%	20%	-	-	-	-	+
	Rubio (R)	60%	60%	+	+	-	+	-
Georgia	Isakson (R)	60%	60%	+	+	-	+	-
	Perdue (R)	60%	60%	+	+	-	+	-
Hawaii	Hirono (D)	20%	20%	-	-	-	-	+
	Schatz (D)	20%	20%	-	-	-	-	+
Idaho	Crapo (R)	60%	60%	+	+	-	+	-
	Risch (R)	60%	60%	+	+	-	+	-
Illinois	Duckworth (D)	20%	20%	-	-	-	-	+
	Durbin (D)	20%	20%	-	-	-	-	+
Indiana	Donnelly (D)	20%	20%	-	-	-	-	+
	Young, T. (R)	60%	60%	+	+	-	+	-
Iowa	Ernst (R)	60%	60%	+	+	-	+	-
	Grassley (R)	60%	60%	+	+	-	+	-
Kansas	Moran (R)	60%	60%	+	+	-	+	-
	Roberts (R)	60%	60%	+	+	-	+	-
Kentucky	McConnell (R)	60%	60%	+	+	-	+	-
	Paul (R)	60%	60%	+	+	-	+	-
Louisiana	Cassidy (R)	60%	60%	+	+	-	+	-
	Kennedy, John (R)	60%	60%	+	+	-	+	-
Maine	Collins (R)	60%	60%	+	+	-	+	-
	King, A. (I)	20%	20%	-	-	-	-	+
Maryland	Cardin (D)	20%	20%	-	-	-	-	+
	Van Hollen (D)	20%	20%	-	-	-	-	+
Massachusetts	Markey (D)	20%	20%	-	-	-	-	+
	Warren (D)	40%	40%	-	-	+	-	+
Michigan	Peters, G. (D)	20%	20%	-	-	-	-	+

Michigan	Stabenow (D)	20%	20%	-	-	-	-	+
Minnesota	Franken (D)	20%	20%	-	-	-	-	+
	Klobuchar (D)	20%	20%	-	-	-	-	+
Mississippi	Cochran (R)	60%	60%	+	+	-	+	-
	Wicker (R)	60%	60%	+	+	-	+	-
Missouri	Blunt (R)	60%	60%	+	+	-	+	-
	McCaskill (D)	20%	20%	-	-	-	-	+
Montana	Daines (R)	60%	60%	+	+	-	+	-
	Tester (D)	20%	20%	-	-	-	-	+
Nebraska	Fischer (R)	60%	60%	+	+	-	+	-
	Sasse (R)	60%	60%	+	+	-	+	-
Nevada	Cortez Masto (D)	40%	40%	-	-	+	-	+
	Heller (R)	60%	60%	+	+	-	+	-
New Hampshire	Hassan (D)	20%	20%	-	-	-	-	+
	Shaheen (D)	20%	20%	-	-	-	-	+
New Jersey	Booker (D)	40%	40%	-	-	+	-	+
	Menendez (D)	20%	20%	-	-	-	-	+
New Mexico	Heinrich (D)	20%	20%	-	-	-	-	+
	Udall (D)	20%	20%	-	-	-	-	+
New York	Gillibrand (D)	40%	40%	-	-	+	-	+
	Schumer (D)	20%	20%	-	-	-	-	+
North Carolina	Burr (R)	60%	60%	+	+	-	+	-
	Tillis (R)	60%	60%	+	+	-	+	-
North Dakota	Heitkamp (D)	20%	20%	-	-	-	-	+
	Hoeven (R)	60%	60%	+	+	-	+	-
Ohio	Brown, S. (D)	20%	20%	-	-	-	-	+
	Portman (R)	60%	60%	+	+	-	+	-
Oklahoma	Inhofe (R)	60%	60%	+	+	-	+	-
	Lankford (R)	60%	60%	+	+	-	+	-
Oregon	Merkley (D)	20%	20%	-	-	-	-	+
	Wyden (D)	20%	20%	-	-	-	-	+
Pennsylvania	Casey (D)	20%	20%	-	-	-	-	+
	Toomey (R)	60%	60%	+	+	-	+	-
Rhode Island	Reed, J. (D)	20%	20%	-	-	-	-	+
	Whitehouse (D)	20%	20%	-	-	-	-	+
South Carolina	Graham, L. (R)	60%	60%	+	+	-	+	-
	Scott, T. (R)	60%	60%	+	+	-	+	-
South Dakota	Rounds (R)	60%	60%	+	+	-	+	-
	Thune (R)	60%	60%	+	+	-	+	-
Tennessee	Alexander (R)	60%	60%	+	+	-	+	-
	Corker (R)	40%	40%	+	+	-	-	-
Texas	Cornyn (R)	60%	60%	+	+	-	+	-
	Cruz (R)	60%	60%	+	+	-	+	-
Utah	Hatch (R)	60%	60%	+	+	-	+	-
	Lee, M. (R)	60%	60%	+	+	-	+	-
Vermont	Leahy (D)	20%	20%	-	-	-	-	+
	Sanders (I)	20%	20%	-	-	-	-	+
Virginia	Kaine (D)	20%	20%	-	-	-	-	+
	Warner (D)	20%	20%	-	-	-	-	+
Washington	Cantwell (D)	20%	20%	-	-	-	-	+
	Murray (D)	20%	20%	-	-	-	-	+
West Virginia	Capito (R)	60%	60%	+	+	-	+	-
	Manchin (D)	60%	60%	+	+	-	-	+

Wisconsin	Baldwin (D)	20%	20%	-	-	-	-	+
	Johnson, R. (R)	60%	60%	+	+	-	+	-
Wyoming	Barrasso (R)	60%	60%	+	+	-	+	-
	Enzi (R)	60%	60%	+	+	-	+	-

Vote Key	
+' Member's yea/nay vote agrees with NAPO's	**-'** Member's yea/nay vote disagrees with
(-) Member's announced/paired position disagrees	**(+)** Member's announced/paired position
X Member did not cast a yea/nay vote or officially	**I** Member was ineligible to vote

* "All Positions" takes into account member's announced/paired positions in additional to yea/nay votes

** "Report Total" only takes into account member's yea/nay votes

House Votes by State

					265	266	267	268	283	284	550	637	699
				Vote Number:									
				Year:	2017	2017	2017	2017	2017	2017	2017	2017	2017
				NAPO Position:	Yea	Yea	Nay	Yea	Nay	Yea	Yea	Nay	Nay
STATE	DISTRICT	MEMBER	% Agreed with NAPO All Positions	% Agreed with NAPO Report Total									
Alabama	1	Byrne (R)	78%	78%	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	-	-
	2	Roby (R)	78%	78%	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	-	-
	3	Rogers, M. (R)	78%	78%	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	-	-
	4	Aderholt (R)	78%	78%	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	-	-
	5	Brooks, M. (R)	88%	78%	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	-	X
	6	Palmer (R)	78%	78%	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	-	-
	7	Sewell (D)	56%	56%	-	+	-	-	-	+	+	+	+
Alaska	At Large	Young, Don (R)	78%	78%	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	-	-
Arizona	1	O'Halleran (D)	78%	78%	+	+	-	+	-	+	+	+	+
	2	McSally (R)	78%	78%	+	+	+	+	(+)	(+)	+	-	-
	3	Grijalva (D)	38%	33%	-	X	-	-	-	-	+	+	+
	4	Gosar (R)	67%	67%	+	+	+	-	+	+	+	-	-
	5	Biggs (R)	75%	67%	+	+	+	+	+	+	X	-	-
	6	Schweikert (R)	67%	67%	+	+	+	-	+	+	+	-	-
	7	Gallego, (D)	56%	56%	-	+	-	-	-	+	+	+	+
	8	Franks (R)	88%	78%	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	-	I
	9	Sinema (D)	78%	78%	+	+	-	+	-	+	+	+	+
Arkansas	1	Crawford (R)	60%	33%	X	X	X	X	+	+	+	-	-
	2	Hill (R)	67%	67%	+	+	+	-	+	+	+	-	-
	3	Womack (R)	78%	78%	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	-	-
	4	Westerman (R)	78%	78%	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	-	-
California	1	LaMalfa (R)	78%	78%	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	-	-
	2	Huffman (D)	44%	44%	-	+	-	-	-	-	+	+	+
	3	Garamendi (D)	78%	78%	+	+	-	+	-	+	+	+	+
	4	McClintock (R)	89%	89%	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	-
	5	Thompson, M. (D)	78%	78%	+	+	-	+	-	+	+	+	+
	6	Matsui (D)	56%	56%	-	+	-	-	-	+	+	+	+
	7	Bera (D)	78%	78%	+	+	-	-	+	+	+	+	+
	8	Cook (R)	78%	78%	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	-	-
	9	McNerney (D)	56%	56%	-	+	-	-	-	+	+	+	+
	10	Denham (R)	78%	78%	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	-	-
	11	DeSaulnier (D)	44%	44%	-	+	-	-	-	-	+	+	+
	12	Pelosi (D)	56%	56%	-	+	-	-	-	+	+	+	+

	13	Lee, B. (D)	57%	44%	-	+	X	X	-	-	+	+	+
	14	Speier (D)	67%	67%	-	+	-	+	-	+	+	+	+
	15	Swalwell (D)	78%	78%	(+)	(+)	(-)	(-)	(+)	(+)	+	+	+
	16	Costa (D)	100%	78%	+	+	X	X	+	+	+	+	+
	17	Khanna (D)	44%	44%	-	+	-	-	-	-	+	+	+
	18	Eshoo (D)	67%	67%	-	+	-	+	-	+	+	+	+
	19	Lofgren (D)	71%	56%	-	+	X	X	-	+	+	+	+
	20	Panetta (D)	78%	78%	+	+	-	-	+	+	+	+	+
	21	Valadao (R)	78%	78%	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	-	-
	22	Nunes (R)	78%	78%	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	-	-
	23	McCarthy (R)	78%	78%	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	-	-
	24	Carbajal (D)	67%	67%	+	+	-	-	-	+	+	+	+
	25	Knight (R)	78%	78%	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	-	-
	26	Brownley (D)	56%	56%	-	+	-	-	-	+	+	+	+
	27	Chu (D)	44%	44%	-	+	-	-	-	-	+	+	+
	28	Schiff (D)	67%	67%	+	+	-	-	-	+	+	+	+
	29	Cardenas (D)	57%	44%	-	+	X	X	-	-	+	+	+
	30	Sherman (D)	44%	44%	-	+	-	-	-	-	+	+	+
	31	Aguilar (D)	67%	67%	+	+	-	-	-	+	+	+	+
	32	Napolitano (D)	71%	56%	(-)	(+)	X	X	-	+	+	+	(+)
	33	Lieu (D)	56%	56%	-	+	-	-	-	+	+	+	+
	34	Becerra (D)	0%	0%	I	I	I	I	I	I	I	I	I
	34	Gomez (D)	100%	33%	I	I	I	I	I	I	+	+	+
	35	Torres (D)	67%	67%	+	+	-	-	-	+	+	+	+
	36	Ruiz (D)	67%	67%	+	+	-	-	-	+	+	+	+
	37	Bass (D)	44%	44%	-	+	-	-	-	-	+	+	+
	38	Sanchez (D)	56%	56%	-	+	-	-	-	+	+	+	+
	39	Royce (R)	78%	78%	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	-	-
	40	Roybal-Allard (D)	56%	56%	-	+	-	-	-	+	+	+	+
	41	Takano (D)	44%	44%	-	+	-	-	-	-	+	+	+
	42	Calvert (R)	78%	78%	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	-	-
	43	Waters, Maxine (D)	44%	44%	-	+	-	-	-	-	+	+	+
	44	Barragan (D)	56%	56%	-	+	-	-	-	+	+	+	+
	45	Walters, Mimi (R)	78%	78%	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	-	-
	46	Correa (D)	78%	78%	+	+	-	+	-	+	+	+	+
	47	Lowenthal (D)	44%	44%	-	+	-	-	-	-	+	+	+
	48	Rohrabacher (R)	89%	89%	+	+	+	-	+	+	+	+	+
	49	Issa (R)	100%	100%	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
	50	Hunter (R)	78%	78%	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	-	-
	51	Vargas (D)	56%	56%	-	+	-	-	-	+	+	+	+
	52	Peters, S. (D)	78%	78%	+	+	-	-	+	+	+	+	+
	53	Davis, S. (D)	56%	56%	-	+	-	-	-	(+)	+	+	+
Colorado	1	DeGette (D)	56%	56%	-	+	-	-	-	+	+	+	+

	2	Polis (D)	56%	56%	-	+	-	-	-	+	+	+	+
	3	Tipton (R)	67%	67%	+	+	+	-	+	+	+	-	-
	4	Buck (R)	75%	67%	+	X	+	+	+	+	+	-	-
	5	Lamborn (R)	67%	67%	+	+	+	-	+	+	+	-	-
	6	Coffman (R)	78%	78%	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	-	-
	7	Perlmutter (D)	89%	89%	+	+	+	+	-	+	+	+	+
Connecticut	1	Larson, J. (D)	71%	56%	-	+	X	X	-	+	+	+	+
	2	Courtney (D)	67%	67%	-	+	-	+	-	+	+	+	+
	3	DeLauro (D)	67%	67%	-	+	-	+	-	+	+	+	+
	4	Himes (D)	67%	67%	-	+	+	-	-	+	(+)	+	+
	5	Esty (D)	56%	56%	-	+	-	-	-	+	+	+	+
Delaware	At Large	Blunt Rochester, (D)	56%	56%	-	+	-	-	-	+	+	+	+
District of Columbia	At Large	Norton (D)	0%	0%	I	I	I	I	I	I	I	I	I
Florida	1	Gaetz (R)	78%	78%	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	-	-
	2	Dunn (R)	78%	78%	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	-	-
	3	Yoho (R)	78%	78%	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	-	-
	4	Rutherford (R)	78%	78%	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	-	-
	5	Lawson (D)	78%	78%	+	+	-	+	-	+	+	+	+
	6	DeSantis (R)	78%	78%	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	-	-
	7	Murphy (D)	89%	89%	+	+	+	+	-	+	+	+	+
	8	Posey (R)	56%	56%	+	+	-	-	+	+	+	-	-
	9	Soto (D)	67%	67%	+	+	-	-	-	+	+	+	+
	10	Demings (D)	75%	67%	+	+	-	X	-	+	+	+	+
	11	Webster (R)	78%	78%	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	-	-
	12	Bilirakis (R)	78%	78%	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	-	-
	13	Crist (D)	78%	78%	+	+	-	+	-	+	+	+	+
	14	Castor (D)	67%	67%	+	+	-	-	-	+	+	+	+
	15	Ross (R)	78%	78%	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	-	-
	16	Buchanan (R)	78%	78%	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	-	-
	17	Rooney, T. (R)	78%	78%	(+)	(+)	+	+	+	+	+	-	-
	18	Mast (R)	78%	78%	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	-	-
	19	Rooney, F. (R)	75%	67%	+	+	+	+	+	+	X	-	-
	20	Hastings (D)	22%	22%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	+	+
	21	Frankel (D)	56%	56%	-	+	-	-	-	+	+	+	+
	22	Deutch (D)	67%	67%	+	+	-	-	-	+	+	+	+
	23	Wasserman Schultz (D)	44%	44%	-	+	-	-	-	-	+	+	+
	24	Wilson, F. (D)	56%	56%	(+)	+	-	-	-	-	+	(+)	+
	25	Diaz-Balart (R)	78%	78%	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	-	-
	26	Curbelo (R)	71%	56%	(+)	(+)	X	X	+	+	+	-	-
	27	Ros-Lehtinen (R)	78%	78%	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	-	-
Georgia	1	Carter, E.L. (R)	78%	78%	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	-	-
	2	Bishop, S. (D)	67%	67%	+	+	-	-	-	+	+	+	+
	3	Ferguson (R)	78%	78%	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	-	-

	4	Johnson, H. (D)	63%	56%	-	+	-	+	X	-	+	+	+
	5	Lewis, John (D)	44%	44%	-	+	-	-	-	-	+	+	+
	6	Handel (R)	33%	11%	I	I	I	I	I	I	+	-	-
	6	Price (R)	0%	0%	I	I	I	I	I	I	I	I	I
	7	Woodall (R)	78%	78%	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	-	-
	8	Scott, A. (R)	78%	78%	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	-	-
	9	Collins, D. (R)	78%	78%	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	-	-
	10	Hice (R)	78%	78%	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	-	-
	11	Loudermilk (R)	63%	56%	+	+	+	-	+	+	X	-	-
	12	Allen (R)	78%	78%	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	-	-
	13	Scott, D. (D)	56%	56%	-	+	-	-	-	+	+	+	+
	14	Graves, T. (R)	67%	67%	+	+	+	-	+	+	+	-	-
Hawaii	1	Hanabusa (D)	50%	44%	-	+	-	-	-	+	X	+	+
	2	Gabbard (D)	56%	56%	-	+	-	-	-	+	+	+	+
Idaho	1	Labrador (R)	67%	67%	+	+	+	+	-	+	+	-	-
	2	Simpson (R)	78%	78%	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	-	-
Illinois	1	Rush (D)	44%	44%	-	+	-	-	-	-	+	+	+
	2	Kelly, R. (D)	56%	56%	-	+	-	-	-	+	+	+	+
	3	Lipinski (D)	78%	78%	+	+	-	+	-	+	+	+	+
	4	Gutierrez (D)	60%	33%	X	X	X	X	-	-	+	+	+
	5	Quigley (D)	75%	67%	+	+	-	-	X	+	+	+	+
	6	Roskam (R)	78%	78%	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	-	-
	7	Davis, D. (D)	44%	44%	-	+	-	-	-	-	+	+	+
	8	Krishnamoorthi (D)	67%	67%	+	+	-	-	-	+	+	+	+
	9	Schakowsky (D)	44%	44%	-	+	-	-	-	-	+	+	+
	10	Schneider (D)	56%	56%	-	+	-	-	-	+	+	+	+
	11	Foster (D)	56%	56%	-	+	-	-	-	+	+	+	+
	12	Bost (R)	78%	78%	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	-	-
	13	Davis, R. (R)	78%	78%	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	-	-
	14	Hultgren (R)	78%	78%	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	-	-
	15	Shimkus (R)	78%	78%	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	-	-
	16	Kinzinger (R)	78%	78%	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	-	-
	17	Bustos (D)	67%	67%	+	+	-	-	-	+	+	+	+
	18	LaHood (R)	78%	78%	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	-	-
Indiana	1	Visclosky (D)	56%	56%	-	+	-	-	-	+	+	+	+
	2	Walorski (R)	78%	78%	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	-	-
	3	Banks (R)	67%	67%	+	+	+	-	+	+	+	-	-
	4	Rokita (R)	67%	67%	+	+	+	-	+	+	+	-	-
	5	Brooks, S. (R)	78%	78%	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	-	-
	6	Messer (R)	67%	67%	+	+	+	-	+	+	+	-	-
	7	Carson (D)	56%	56%	-	+	-	-	-	+	+	+	+
	8	Bucshon (R)	78%	78%	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	-	-
	9	Hollingsworth (R)	78%	78%	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	-	-

Iowa	1	Blum (R)	78%	78%	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	-	-
	2	Loebsack (D)	56%	56%	-	+	-	-	-	+	+	+	+
	3	Young, David (R)	78%	78%	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	-	-
	4	King, S. (R)	78%	78%	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	-	-
Kansas	1	Marshall (R)	78%	78%	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	-	-
	2	Jenkins, L. (R)	67%	67%	+	+	+	-	+	+	+	-	-
	3	Yoder (R)	78%	78%	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	-	-
	4	Estes (R)	78%	78%	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	-	-
Kentucky	1	Comer (R)	67%	67%	+	+	+	-	+	+	+	-	-
	2	Guthrie (R)	78%	78%	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	-	-
	3	Yarmuth (D)	56%	56%	-	+	-	-	-	+	+	+	+
	4	Massie (R)	22%	22%	-	+	-	-	+	-	-	-	-
	5	Rogers, H. (R)	78%	78%	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	-	-
	6	Barr (R)	78%	78%	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	-	-
Louisiana	1	Scalise (R)	78%	78%	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	-	-
	2	Richmond (D)	57%	44%	-	+	X	X	-	-	+	+	+
	3	Higgins, C. (R)	78%	78%	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	-	-
	4	Johnson, M. (R)	78%	78%	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	-	-
	5	Abraham (R)	78%	78%	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	-	-
	6	Graves, G. (R)	78%	78%	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	-	-
Maine	1	Pingree (D)	56%	56%	-	+	-	-	-	+	+	+	+
	2	Poliquin (R)	78%	78%	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	-	-
Maryland	1	Harris, A. (R)	78%	78%	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	-	-
	2	Ruppersberger (D)	67%	67%	+	+	-	-	-	+	+	+	+
	3	Sarbanes (D)	56%	56%	-	+	-	-	-	+	+	+	+
	4	Brown, A. (D)	56%	56%	-	+	-	-	-	+	+	+	+
	5	Hoyer (D)	56%	56%	-	+	-	-	-	+	+	+	+
	6	Delaney (D)	67%	67%	-	+	-	+	-	+	+	+	+
	7	Cummings (D)	57%	44%	-	+	-	-	X	X	+	+	+
	8	Raskin (D)	56%	56%	-	+	-	-	-	+	+	+	+
Massachusetts	1	Neal (D)	67%	67%	-	+	-	+	-	+	+	+	+
	2	McGovern (D)	44%	44%	-	+	-	-	-	-	+	+	+
	3	Tsongas (D)	56%	56%	-	+	-	-	-	+	+	+	+
	4	Kennedy, Joseph P. (D)	50%	44%	-	+	-	-	-	+	+	+	X
	5	Clark, K. (D)	56%	56%	-	+	-	-	-	+	+	+	+
	6	Moulton (D)	56%	56%	-	+	-	-	-	+	+	+	+
	7	Capuano (D)	56%	56%	-	+	-	-	-	+	+	+	+
	8	Lynch (D)	78%	78%	+	+	-	+	-	+	+	+	+
	9	Keating (D)	67%	67%	-	+	-	+	-	+	+	+	+
Michigan	1	Bergman (R)	78%	78%	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	-	-
	2	Huizenga (R)	78%	78%	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	-	-
	3	Amash (R)	11%	11%	-	+	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
	4	Moolenaar (R)	78%	78%	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	-	-

	5	Kildee (D)	56%	56%	-	+	-	-	-	+	+	+	+
	6	Upton (R)	78%	78%	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	-	-
	7	Walberg (R)	78%	78%	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	-	-
	8	Bishop, M. (R)	78%	78%	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	-	-
	9	Levin (D)	56%	56%	-	+	-	-	-	+	+	+	+
	10	Mitchell (R)	67%	67%	-	+	+	+	+	+	+	-	-
	11	Trott (R)	78%	78%	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	-	-
	12	Dingell (D)	56%	56%	-	+	-	-	-	+	+	+	+
	13	Conyers (D)	38%	33%	-	+	-	-	-	-	+	+	I
	14	Lawrence (D)	56%	56%	-	+	-	-	-	+	+	+	+
Minnesota	1	Walz (D)	56%	56%	-	+	-	-	-	+	+	+	+
	2	Lewis, Jason (R)	67%	67%	+	+	+	-	+	+	+	-	-
	3	Paulsen (R)	78%	78%	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	-	-
	4	McCollum (D)	56%	56%	-	+	-	-	-	+	+	+	+
	5	Ellison (D)	44%	44%	-	+	-	-	-	-	+	+	+
	6	Emmer (R)	78%	78%	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	-	-
	7	Peterson (D)	100%	100%	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
	8	Nolan (D)	56%	56%	-	+	-	-	(-)	(+)	+	+	+
Mississippi	1	Kelly, T. (R)	67%	67%	+	+	+	-	+	+	+	-	-
	2	Thompson, B. (D)	83%	56%	+	+	X	X	-	+	+	+	X
	3	Harper (R)	78%	78%	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	-	-
	4	Palazzo (R)	78%	78%	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	-	-
Missouri	1	Clay (D)	57%	44%	-	+	X	X	-	-	+	+	+
	2	Wagner (R)	71%	56%	+	+	X	X	+	+	+	-	-
	3	Luetkemeyer (R)	78%	78%	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	-	-
	4	Hartzler (R)	78%	78%	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	-	-
	5	Cleaver (D)	44%	44%	-	+	-	-	-	-	+	+	+
	6	Graves, S. (R)	78%	78%	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	-	-
	7	Long (R)	78%	78%	+	+	+	+	+	+	(+)	-	-
	8	Smith, J. (R)	67%	67%	+	+	+	-	+	+	+	-	-
Montana	At Large	Gianforte (R)	33%	11%	I	I	I	I	I	I	+	-	-
Nebraska	1	Fortenberry (R)	78%	78%	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	-	-
	2	Bacon (R)	78%	78%	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	-	-
	3	Smith, Adrian (R)	78%	78%	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	-	-
Nevada	1	Titus (D)	56%	56%	-	+	-	-	-	+	(+)	+	+
	2	Amodei (R)	78%	78%	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	-	-
	3	Rosen (D)	78%	78%	+	+	-	+	-	+	(+)	+	+
	4	Kihuen (D)	50%	33%	-	+	-	-	X	X	X	+	+
New Hampshire	1	Shea-Porter (D)	56%	56%	-	+	-	-	-	+	+	+	+
	2	Kuster (D)	56%	56%	-	+	-	-	-	+	+	+	+
New Jersey	1	Norcross (D)	67%	67%	+	+	-	-	-	+	+	+	+
	2	LoBiondo (R)	100%	100%	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
	3	MacArthur (R)	78%	78%	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	-	-

	4	Smith, C. (R)	89%	89%	-	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
	5	Gottheimer (D)	100%	100%	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
	6	Pallone (D)	44%	44%	-	+	-	-	-	-	+	+	+
	7	Lance (R)	100%	100%	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
	8	Sires (D)	78%	78%	-	+	+	+	-	+	+	+	+
	9	Pascrell (D)	89%	89%	+	+	+	+	-	+	+	+	+
	10	Payne (D)	44%	44%	-	+	-	-	-	-	+	+	+
	11	Frelinghuysen (R)	100%	100%	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
	12	Watson Coleman (D)	44%	44%	-	+	-	-	-	-	+	+	+
New Mexico	1	Lujan Grisham, M. (D)	56%	56%	-	+	-	-	-	+	+	+	+
	2	Pearce (R)	78%	78%	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	-	-
	3	Lujan, B.R. (D)	56%	56%	-	+	-	-	-	+	+	+	+
New York	1	Zeldin (R)	100%	100%	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
	2	King, P. (R)	100%	100%	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
	3	Suozzi (D)	67%	67%	(-)	+	-	+	-	+	+	+	+
	4	Rice, K. (D)	56%	56%	-	+	-	-	-	+	+	+	+
	5	Meeks (D)	67%	44%	-	+	+	-	X	X	X	+	+
	6	Meng (D)	67%	67%	+	+	-	-	-	+	+	+	+
	7	Velazquez (D)	56%	56%	-	+	-	-	-	+	+	+	+
	8	Jeffries (D)	56%	56%	-	+	-	-	-	+	+	+	+
	9	Clarke, Y. (D)	44%	44%	-	+	-	-	-	-	+	+	+
	10	Nadler (D)	44%	44%	-	+	-	-	-	-	+	+	+
	11	Donovan (R)	100%	100%	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
	12	Maloney, C. (D)	57%	44%	-	+	-	-	X	X	+	+	+
	13	Espaillat (D)	56%	56%	-	+	-	-	-	+	+	+	+
	14	Crowley (D)	56%	56%	-	+	-	-	-	+	+	+	+
	15	Serrano (D)	56%	56%	-	+	-	-	-	+	+	+	+
	16	Engel (D)	56%	56%	-	+	-	-	-	+	+	+	+
	17	Lowey (D)	56%	56%	-	+	-	-	-	+	+	+	+
	18	Maloney, S.P. (D)	78%	78%	+	+	-	+	-	+	+	+	+
	19	Faso (R)	100%	100%	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
	20	Tonko (D)	50%	44%	-	+	-	-	-	+	X	+	+
	21	Stefanik (R)	100%	100%	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
	22	Tenney (R)	78%	78%	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	-	-
	23	Reed, T. (R)	78%	78%	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	-	-
	24	Katko (R)	71%	56%	+	+	X	X	+	+	+	-	-
	25	Slaughter (D)	56%	56%	-	+	-	-	-	+	+	+	+
	26	Higgins, B. (D)	78%	78%	+	+	-	+	-	+	+	+	+
	27	Collins, C. (R)	78%	78%	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	-	-
North Carolina	1	Butterfield (D)	56%	56%	-	+	-	-	-	+	+	+	+
	2	Holding (R)	78%	78%	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	-	-
	3	Jones (R)	75%	67%	+	+	-	-	+	+	X	+	+
	4	Price (D)	56%	56%	-	+	-	-	-	+	+	+	+

	5	Foxx (R)	78%	78%	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	-	-
	6	Walker (R)	75%	67%	+	X	+	+	+	+	+	-	-
	7	Rouzer (R)	78%	78%	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	-	-
	8	Hudson (R)	78%	78%	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	-	-
	9	Pittenger (R)	78%	78%	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	-	-
	10	McHenry (R)	78%	78%	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	-	-
	11	Meadows (R)	67%	67%	+	+	+	-	+	+	+	-	-
	12	Adams (D)	56%	56%	-	+	-	-	-	+	+	+	+
	13	Budd (R)	78%	78%	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	-	-
North Dakota	At Large	Cramer (R)	78%	78%	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	-	-
Ohio	1	Chabot (R)	78%	78%	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	-	-
	2	Wenstrup (R)	78%	78%	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	-	-
	3	Beatty (D)	56%	56%	-	+	-	-	-	+	+	+	+
	4	Jordan (R)	67%	67%	+	+	+	-	+	+	+	-	-
	5	Latta (R)	78%	78%	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	-	-
	6	Johnson, B. (R)	78%	78%	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	-	-
	7	Gibbs (R)	78%	78%	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	-	-
	8	Davidson (R)	67%	67%	+	+	+	-	+	+	+	-	-
	9	Kaptur (D)	67%	67%	-	+	-	+	-	+	+	+	+
	10	Turner (R)	78%	78%	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	-	-
	11	Fudge (D)	44%	44%	-	+	-	-	-	-	+	+	+
	12	Tiberi (R)	78%	78%	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	-	-
	13	Ryan, T. (D)	56%	56%	-	+	-	-	-	+	+	+	+
	14	Joyce (R)	78%	78%	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	-	-
	15	Stivers (R)	78%	78%	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	-	-
	16	Renacci (R)	78%	78%	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	-	(-)
Oklahoma	1	Bridenstine (R)	63%	56%	+	+	+	-	+	+	X	-	-
	2	Mullin (R)	78%	78%	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	-	-
	3	Lucas (R)	78%	78%	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	-	-
	4	Cole (R)	71%	56%	(+)	(+)	X	X	+	+	+	-	-
	5	Russell (R)	60%	33%	X	X	X	X	+	+	+	-	-
Oregon	1	Bonamici (D)	44%	44%	-	+	-	-	-	-	+	+	+
	2	Walden (R)	78%	78%	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	-	-
	3	Blumenauer (D)	44%	44%	-	+	-	-	-	-	+	+	+
	4	DeFazio (D)	67%	67%	-	+	-	+	-	+	+	+	+
	5	Schrader (D)	89%	89%	+	+	-	+	+	+	+	+	+
Pennsylvania	1	Brady, R. (D)	56%	56%	-	+	-	-	-	+	+	+	+
	2	Evans (D)	44%	44%	-	+	-	-	-	-	+	+	+
	3	Kelly, M. (R)	78%	78%	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	-	-
	4	Perry (R)	67%	67%	+	+	+	-	+	+	+	-	-
	5	Thompson, G. (R)	78%	78%	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	-	-
	6	Costello (R)	78%	78%	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	-	-
	7	Meehan (R)	78%	78%	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	-	-

	8	Fitzpatrick (R)	78%	78%	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	-	-
	9	Shuster (R)	78%	78%	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	-	-
	10	Marino (R)	78%	78%	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	-	-
	11	Barletta (R)	78%	78%	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	-	-
	12	Rothfus (R)	78%	78%	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	-	-
	13	Boyle (D)	67%	67%	-	+	-	+	-	+	+	+	+
	14	Doyle (D)	56%	56%	-	+	-	-	-	+	+	+	+
	15	Dent (R)	78%	78%	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	-	-
	16	Smucker (R)	78%	78%	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	-	-
	17	Cartwright (D)	78%	78%	+	+	-	+	-	+	+	+	+
	18	Murphy, T. (R)	100%	78%	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	I	I
Puerto Rico	AL	Gonzalez-Colon, J. (R)	0%	0%	I	I	I	I	I	I	I	I	I
Rhode Island	1	Cicilline (D)	56%	56%	-	+	-	-	-	+	+	+	+
	2	Langevin (D)	67%	67%	-	+	-	+	-	+	+	+	+
South Carolina	1	Sanford (R)	56%	56%	+	+	-	-	+	+	+	-	-
	2	Wilson, J. (R)	78%	78%	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	-	-
	3	Duncan, Jeff (R)	71%	56%	+	+	X	X	+	+	+	-	-
	4	Gowdy (R)	78%	78%	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	-	-
	5	Norman (R)	33%	11%	I	I	I	I	I	I	+	-	-
	6	Clyburn (D)	44%	44%	-	+	-	-	-	-	+	+	+
	7	Rice, T. (R)	78%	78%	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	-	-
South Dakota	At Large	Noem (R)	67%	44%	+	X	X	X	+	+	+	-	-
Tennessee	1	Roe (R)	78%	78%	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	-	-
	2	Duncan, John (R)	67%	67%	+	+	+	-	+	+	+	-	-
	3	Fleischmann (R)	78%	78%	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	-	-
	4	DesJarlais (R)	78%	78%	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	-	-
	5	Cooper (D)	78%	78%	-	+	+	+	-	+	+	+	+
	6	Black, D. (R)	78%	78%	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	-	-
	7	Blackburn, M. (R)	71%	56%	+	+	X	X	+	+	+	-	-
	8	Kustoff (R)	71%	56%	+	+	+	+	X	X	+	-	-
	9	Cohen (D)	56%	56%	-	+	-	-	-	+	+	+	+
Texas	1	Gohmert (R)	71%	56%	X	X	+	+	+	+	+	-	-
	2	Poe (R)	78%	78%	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	-	-
	3	Johnson, S. (R)	33%	11%	X	X	X	X	X	X	+	-	-
	4	Ratcliffe (R)	78%	78%	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	-	-
	5	Hensarling (R)	78%	78%	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	-	-
	6	Barton (R)	78%	78%	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	-	-
	7	Culberson (R)	78%	78%	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	-	-
	8	Brady, K. (R)	78%	78%	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	-	-
	9	Green, A. (D)	44%	44%	-	+	-	-	-	-	+	+	+
	10	McCaul (R)	78%	78%	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	-	-
	11	Conaway (R)	78%	78%	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	-	-
	12	Granger (R)	78%	78%	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	-	-

	13	Thornberry (R)	78%	78%	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	-	-
	14	Weber (R)	78%	78%	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	-	-
	15	Gonzalez, (D)	67%	67%	+	+	-	-	-	+	+	+	+
	16	O'Rourke (D)	67%	67%	+	+	-	-	-	+	+	+	+
	17	Flores (R)	78%	78%	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	-	-
	18	Jackson Lee (D)	44%	44%	-	+	-	-	-	-	+	+	+
	19	Arrington (R)	78%	78%	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	-	-
	20	Castro (D)	44%	44%	-	+	-	-	-	-	+	+	+
	21	Smith, L. (R)	88%	78%	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	-	X
	22	Olson (R)	78%	78%	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	-	-
	23	Hurd (R)	78%	78%	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	-	-
	24	Marchant (R)	78%	78%	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	-	-
	25	Williams (R)	78%	78%	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	-	-
	26	Burgess (R)	78%	78%	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	-	-
	27	Farenthold (R)	78%	78%	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	-	-
	28	Cuellar (D)	78%	78%	+	+	-	+	-	+	+	+	+
	29	Green, G. (D)	67%	67%	+	+	-	-	-	+	+	+	+
	30	Johnson, E.B. (D)	44%	44%	-	+	-	-	-	-	+	+	+
	31	Carter, J. (R)	78%	78%	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	-	-
	32	Sessions (R)	71%	56%	X	X	+	+	+	+	+	-	-
	33	Veasey (D)	44%	44%	-	+	-	-	-	-	+	+	+
	34	Vela (D)	89%	89%	+	+	+	+	-	+	+	+	+
	35	Doggett (D)	56%	56%	-	+	-	-	-	+	+	+	+
	36	Babin (R)	67%	67%	+	+	+	-	+	+	+	-	-
Utah	1	Bishop, R. (R)	78%	78%	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	-	-
	2	Stewart (R)	78%	78%	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	-	-
	3	Chaffetz (R)	100%	22%	X	X	X	X	+	+	I	I	I
	3	Curtis (R)	0%	0%	I	I	I	I	I	I	I	-	-
	4	Love (R)	78%	78%	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	-	-
Vermont	At Large	Welch (D)	67%	67%	-	+	+	-	-	+	+	+	+
Virginia	1	Wittman (R)	67%	67%	+	+	+	-	+	+	+	-	-
	2	Taylor (R)	78%	78%	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	-	-
	3	Scott, R. (D)	44%	44%	-	+	-	-	-	-	+	+	+
	4	McEachin (D)	43%	33%	X	X	-	-	-	-	+	+	+
	5	Garrett (R)	67%	67%	+	+	+	-	+	+	+	-	-
	6	Goodlatte (R)	78%	78%	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	-	-
	7	Brat (R)	67%	67%	+	+	+	-	+	+	+	-	-
	8	Beyer (D)	44%	44%	-	+	-	-	-	-	+	+	+
	9	Griffith (R)	56%	56%	+	+	-	-	+	+	+	-	-
	10	Comstock (R)	78%	78%	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	-	-
	11	Connolly (D)	56%	56%	-	+	-	-	-	+	+	+	+
Washington	1	DelBene (D)	56%	56%	-	+	-	-	-	+	+	+	+
	2	Larsen, R. (D)	56%	56%	-	+	-	-	-	+	+	+	+

	3	Herrera Beutler (R)	78%	78%	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	-	-
	4	Newhouse (R)	33%	11%	X	X	X	X	X	X	+	-	-
	5	McMorris Rodgers (R)	78%	78%	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	-	-
	6	Kilmer (D)	67%	67%	+	+	-	-	-	+	+	+	+
	7	Jayapal (D)	44%	44%	-	+	-	-	-	-	+	+	+
	8	Reichert (R)	78%	78%	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	-	-
	9	Smith, Adam (D)	44%	44%	-	+	-	-	-	-	+	+	+
	10	Heck (D)	67%	67%	+	+	-	-	-	+	+	+	+
West Virginia	1	McKinley (R)	78%	78%	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	-	-
	2	Mooney (R)	56%	56%	+	+	-	-	+	+	+	-	-
	3	Jenkins, E. (R)	78%	78%	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	-	-
Wisconsin	1	Ryan, P. (R)	0%	0%	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	-	-
	2	Pocan (D)	25%	22%	-	+	-	-	-	-	+	(-)	X
	3	Kind (D)	78%	78%	+	+	-	+	-	+	+	+	+
	4	Moore (D)	44%	44%	-	+	-	-	-	-	+	+	+
	5	Sensenbrenner (R)	78%	78%	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	-	-
	6	Grothman (R)	78%	78%	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	-	-
	7	Duffy (R)	78%	78%	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	-	-
	8	Gallagher (R)	78%	78%	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	-	-
Wyoming	At Large	Cheney (R)	78%	78%	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	-	-

Vote Key	
+' Member's yea/nay vote agrees with NAPO's	**-'** Member's yea/nay vote disagrees
(-) Member's announced/paired position	**(+)** Member's announced/paired
X Member did not cast a yea/nay vote or	**I** Member was ineligible to vote

* "All Positions" takes into account member's announced/paired positions in additional to yea/nay votes

** "Report Total" only takes into account member's yea/nay votes

Summary of NAPO Support in the 115th Congress

Mid-Term Report

NAPO SUPPORT BY STATE



Summary of NAPO Support in the 115th Congress

Mid-Term Report

NAPO SUPPORT BY PARTY



Support by State

STATE	% Agreed with NAPO		Average Total % State Support
	SENATE	HOUSE	
Alabama	77%	76%	77%
Alaska	60%	78%	69%
Arizona	60%	69%	65%
Arkansas	60%	71%	66%
California	30%	66%	48%
Colorado	40%	70%	55%
Connecticut	30%	66%	48%
Delaware	20%	56%	38%
Florida	40%	71%	56%
Georgia	60%	63%	62%
Hawaii	20%	58%	39%
Idaho	60%	73%	67%
Illinois	20%	66%	43%
Indiana	40%	70%	55%
Iowa	60%	73%	67%
Kansas	60%	75%	68%
Kentucky	60%	63%	62%
Louisiana	60%	75%	68%
Maine	40%	67%	54%
Maryland	20%	62%	41%
Massachusetts	30%	59%	22%
Michigan	20%	63%	42%
Minnesota	20%	67%	44%
Mississippi	60%	77%	69%
Missouri	40%	69%	55%
Montana	40%	33%	37%

States by Support

STATE	Average % State Supported NAPO Position
Alabama	77%
Nebraska	70%
Alaska	69%
Mississippi	69%
Wyoming	69%
Kansas	68%
Louisiana	68%
Idaho	67%
Iowa	67%
Pennsylvania	67%
Arkansas	66%
North Carolina	66%
West Virginia	66%
Arizona	65%
Oklahoma	65%
Texas	65%
South Dakota	64%
Utah	64%
Georgia	62%
Kentucky	62%
South Carolina	62%
Tennessee	62%
North Dakota	59%
Nevada	58%
Florida	56%
Ohio	56%

Nebraska	60%	78%	70%
Nevada	50%	66%	58%
New Hampshire	20%	56%	38%
New Jersey	30%	78%	54%
New Mexico	20%	63%	42%
New York	30%	69%	50%
North Carolina	60%	72%	66%
North Dakota	40%	78%	59%
Ohio	40%	71%	56%
Oklahoma	60%	70%	65%
Oregon	20%	64%	42%
Pennsylvania	60%	74%	67%
Rhode Island	20%	62%	41%
South Carolina	60%	63%	62%
South Dakota	60%	67%	64%
Tennessee	50%	73%	62%
Texas	60%	70%	65%
Utah	60%	67%	64%
Vermont	20%	67%	44%
Virginia	20%	62%	41%
Washington	20%	60%	40%
West Virginia	60%	71%	66%
Wisconsin	40%	57%	49%
Wyoming	60%	78%	69%

Colorado	**55%**
Indiana	**55%**
Missouri	**55%**
Maine	**54%**
New Jersey	**54%**
New York	**50%**
Wisconsin	**49%**
California	**48%**
Connecticut	**48%**
Minnesota	**44%**
Vermont	**44%**
Illinois	**43%**
Michigan	**42%**
New Mexico	**42%**
Oregon	**42%**
Maryland	**41%**
Rhode Island	**41%**
Virginia	**41%**
Washington	**40%**
Hawaii	**39%**
Delaware	**38%**
New Hampshire	**38%**
Montana	**37%**
Massachusetts	**22%**